

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,755	02/19/2004	Duke Chen	CHEN3630/EM	2074
23364	7590 04/06/200		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			LEYKIN, RITA	
FOURTH F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND	RIA, VA 22314		2837	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HIA			
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/780,755	CHEN, DUKE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rita Leykin	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed thy (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communications BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	his action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4/					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	i/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner, Note the attache	u Office Action of form PTO-152	. .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		,			
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have beer	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of 6 Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The description in the specification does not enable one skilled in the art to measure a distance between the moving roller and the garage door. No teaching is provided on tools or technique for a comparison ratio of a predetermined roller speed and actual roller speed. The disclose has no clear description on how to plan on preventive safety maintenance, etc.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a

Application/Control Number: 10/780,755

Art Unit: 2837

manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Please, note the last four lines in the claim. The functional language of these lines is not limiting the claimed structure and therefore not a patentable subject matter.

- 5. In claim 1, the phrases "precise", "etc.", "in this way" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "this comparison ration" in line 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mullet et al. US # 6,326,751.

Mullet et al. disclose a system for detecting and measuring the operational parameters of a garage door. Wherein a sensor 60 is connected to the control circuit 50 for communication therewith and to stop operation of the counterbalancing system 30 when deemed appropriate. The sensor 60 in Mullet et al. comprising transmitter 84 that

Application/Control Number: 10/780,755

Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

generates an incident signal 86 that is directed to the sectional panels of the door 12. After the incident signal 86 has been reflected by the door 12, a reflected signal 88 is received by a receiver 90. The receiver 90 is connected to a receiver unit 92, which transmits the received signal to the processor 66 for comparison to previously generated received signals. Mullet et al. teach that receiver 90 can be configured as transceiver, by transceiver line 94, connecting the sender unit 82 to the receiver 90. Sensor 60 is capable to determine door motion, whether the door is moving or an obstruction has been encountered. The variety of transceiver type devices proposed including acoustic type. Mullet et al. teach that this sensor works equally well on closed loop systems such as trolley-mounted operators, (that includes claimed mechanical structure) and the like, (see column 13, lines 17-67, column 14, lines 1-15, 35-37).

Hence, it has been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time invention was made to use Mullet et al. teaching to install the transceiver on the trolley-mount door type, that is claimed by the applicant, to sense the distance traveled by the door and to clock-modify that signal to receive the speed of the door information. The comparison of the actual and predetermined speed for the reason to detect the obstacle in the garage door setting is well known.

The reason is to stop or/and reverse the door to prevent the damage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita Leykin whose telephone number is (571)272-2066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571)272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita Leykin Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

R.L.